

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,163	01/28/2004	John R. Hugens JR.	504835041099	3391
Stephen D. Sca	7590 12/19/2006 anlon, Esq.	EXAMINER		
JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/766,163	HUGENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Kastler	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	t					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No.	ovember 2006.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>21-32,41-44 and 57-64</u> is/are pending	in the application.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-29,41-44 and 57-61</u> is/are rejected	6) Claim(s) 21-29,41-44 and 57-61 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>30-32 and 62-64</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/05, 9/3/05.	5)					

Application/Control Number: 10/766,163

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-29, 41-44 and 57-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rogers. Rogers teaches a device in the form of a plurality of burners (12) for use in a vertical shaft furnace (2) which are configured to direct a jet of hot gas into the shaft in an upper portion in a non-radial direction (see the orientation of the burners 12 in figure 2 for example) where the burners extend in a common direction circumferentially around the inside of the shaft where the apparatus is inclined downwardly from the horizontal plane, thereby inducing a swirl, and the burners can be configured to fire at a different and if desired a lower heat input than the lower burners (18) where both the upper and lower burners can be either pre-mix or nozzle mix burners as desired, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30-32 and 62-64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The above claims would be allowable over the cited and applied prior art at least because none of the cited or applied prior art shows or fairly suggests that use of a connecting plenum between the burner and the shaft so that the plenum introduces multiple jets into the shaft. The closest applied prior art (Rogers) rather, employs multiple

Application/Control Number: 10/766,163

Art Unit: 1742

burners (12) arranged around the shaft each with a plenum, and no single plenum which is configured to direct multiple jets from a single burner as instantly claimed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Each of Geiger et al and Poumay are also cited as further examples of prior art vertical shaft furnaces including both upper and lower burner systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,163 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk